



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/614,355 07/12/00 O BANION

M 0275A0103COF

EXAMINER

QM12/0322

CHARLES E YOCUM ESQ
PATENT DEPARTMENT
THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION
701 E JOPPA ROAD
TOWSON MD 21204

DEXTER, C
ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3724
DATE MAILED:

03/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

RECEIVED
AVAILABLE
37

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/614,355

Applicant(s)
Miller et al.

Examiner
Clark F. Dexter

Group Art Unit
3724



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 1 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☒ Claims 1-26 are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 3724

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 and 2, drawn to a miter saw with a handle, classified in class 83, subclass 471.3.
 - II. Claims 1 and 3-7, drawn to a miter saw with a specific saw assembly configuration, classified in class 83, subclass 486.1.
 - III. Claims 1 and 8-26, drawn to a miter saw with specific fence configurations, classified in class 83, subclass 468.3.
2. Claims 1-21 have been restricted such that the patentability of the invention is presumed to lie in the details of the particular group (e.g. the handle of Group I). It is noted that if claim 1 as originally filed is determined to be patentable, rejoinder of claims 1-21 will be considered. It is further noted that while claim 1 is listed as part of the three groups, it is not considered to be part of any of these groups. Rather, claim 1 recites subject matter that is common to these groups and has been shown as part of each group for clarity (i.e., so that it is clear which claims are part of which group). Further, because claim 1 includes subject matter which is common to the three groups, it is not considered to be independent or distinct from any one of these groups. Therefore, claim 1 will be examined with an elected one of these groups.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions of groups I and II are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group I does not require the specific details of the saw assembly configuration (e.g., the relationship between the cutting tool and the motor) of group II for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group I, and the invention of group II does not require the handle of group I for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group II.

5. Inventions of groups I and III are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group I does not require the specific details of the fence configurations (e.g., the gap-filling flaps) of group III for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group I, and the invention of group III does not require the handle of group I for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group III.

6. Inventions of groups II and III are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group II does not require the specific details of the fence configurations (e.g., the gap-filling flaps) of group III for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group II, and the invention of group III does not require the specific details of the saw assembly configuration (e.g., the relationship between the cutting tool and the motor) of group II for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group III.

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and have acquired a separate

Art Unit: 3724

status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at (703)308-2187.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3579; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.



Clark F. Dexter
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3724

cf
March 21, 2001